Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/037,382	CACI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Misiaszek	3625
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Michael Misiaszek</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kathleen Chapman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 November 2006	Time: <u>3:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: 1, 18, 33-40		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	<del> </del>	
(Evaminar/SDE Signatura) (Analisanti	Applicant's Representative Si	ignature — if appropriate\
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	Whencaur a Mehicachranive Of	gnature – ii appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated to Ms. Chapman that upon cancellation of claims 33-40 and Examiner's amendment to the remaining claims to resolve technical issues and more positively recite the novel features of the present invention, the application would be placed in condition for allowance. Ms. Chapman indicated that the Examiner should proceed with the discussed amendments to the claims..